

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,587	11/24/2003	Dinesh Chopra	M4065.0152/P152-C	1234
24998	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
	N SHAPIRO MORIN &	MORGAN, EILEEN P		
	2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

;		E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,587	CHOPRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eileen P. Morgan	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja						
·—	/_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-65</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30-34,50-54 and 60-65</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-49,55-59</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)		1000 AAN				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-24-03.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/718,587

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 43, 'the longitudinal direction' lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 35,36,40-45,47-49,55-59 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shendon et al.-5,775,983.

Shendon discloses conditioning a glazed polishing pad with a conditioner having coaxially aligned roller segments (102,104) having a knurled surface of different heights and applied at different pressures for various conditioning of pad (col. 5, lines 20, 25, col. 6, line 65), wherein the roller segments rotate at various speeds (col. 7, line 24), the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,587

Art Unit: 3723

tool (100) being movable transversely to pad via (512), wherein a roller can be non-cylindrical (716).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 37 and 38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shendon, alone.

Shendon discloses the claimed invention, as stated above, but does not disclose the particulars of the driving arrangement of the rollers. However, to have a drive shaft with gears to rotate a roller would have been an obvious design choice since this configuration is old and well-known in the art and would be within the level of ordinary skill.

Claims 39, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shendon in view of Labunsky et al.-6,086,460.

Shendon discloses the claimed invention, as stated above, but does not disclose the rollers moving longitudinal with respect to a polishing web nor being driven electrically. However, Labunsky teaches conditioning a polishing web with a cylindrical roller that is driven by a motor and capable of longitudinal movement (Summary). Therefore, it would have been obvious at time invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the conditioner of Shendon with longitudinal movement to be

Art Unit: 3723

able to be used on a polishing web and to condition all areas of pad, as taught by Labunsky, and to provide the rollers of Shendon with motors, as taught by Labunsky, in order to precisely control speed of rollers for more accurate conditioning.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P. Morgan whose telephone number is 571.272.4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (Office), Friday (Work at home).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571.272.4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EM April 3, 2006

EILEEN P. MORGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER